

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/874,483	RENEWICK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vanel Frenel	3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vanel Frenel.

(3) Ryan J. Miller.

(2) Joseph Thomas (SPE AU 3626).

(4) Chris Schuster (by telephone).

Date of Interview: 20 July 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, all of record, in general.


Identification of prior art discussed: Borghesi et al 95,950,169.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOSEPH THOMAS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiners and Applicant's Representatives discussed the Office Action mailed 6/9/06. Examiners explained the basis of the rejection since Applicants had indicated that rejections made in the Final Rejection mailed 6/9/06 were unclear. It was suggested that Applicant's Representatives formally request reconsideration and withdrawal of the Finality of the last rejection due to its lack of clarity. Examiners will favorably review such a request and respond accordingly. However, no amendment requiring further search will be entered at this stage. .